Reactivation of hepatitis B refers to the abrupt increase in hepatitis B virus (HBV) replication in a patient with inactive or resolved hepatitis B. Reactivation can occur spontaneously, but more typically is triggered by immunosuppressive therapy of cancer, autoimmune disease, or organ transplantation. Reactivation can be transient and clinically silent, but often causes a flare of disease that can be severe resulting in acute hepatic failure. Most instances of reactivation resolve spontaneously, but if immune suppression is continued, re-establishment of chronic hepatitis occurs which can lead to progressive liver injury and cirrhosis. The best-described instances of reactivation occur in hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) carriers with inactive or minimally active disease who are given cancer chemotherapy for lymphoma or leukemia. Typically, serum HBV DNA rises during chemotherapy, followed by a disease flare and HBV DNA clearance with immune reconstitution after chemotherapy is stopped. Special forms of reactivation occur after solid organ and bone marrow transplantation in which chronic infection often results. Several randomized, placebo-controlled trials have shown that reactivation can be prevented by antiviral prophylaxis. Routine prophylaxis is therefore recommended for persons with HBsAg undergoing cancer chemotherapy or transplantation, but major questions remain. Which patients should be screened for HBsAg and should all be treated? Which antiviral should be used and for how long? Should persons with resolved hepatitis B without HBsAg receive prophylaxis? Future research should address the underlying molecular mechanisms of reactivation as well as its optimal means of diagnosis, treatment, and prevention in different patient populations. (HEPATOLOGY 2009; 49:S156-S165.)
of liver disease (drug-induced liver disease, alcoholic liver disease) occurring in a previously stable, inactive HBV carrier. There is a need for a wider awareness about reactivation of hepatitis B, when and where it occurs and how it should be prevented or managed.

**Virological and Clinical Features of HBV Reactivation**

HBV reactivation occurs in many situations in which a person with mild or inactive hepatitis B is exposed to immunosuppressive agents or suffers from immune deficiency. Reactivation has been shown to occur with chemotherapy for solid cancers and leukemia particularly when using rituximab; with immune modulation using prednisone or infliximab for autoimmune conditions; with progression of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection; after solid organ transplantation (heart, lung, kidney) and, most commonly and dramatically, after bone marrow and liver transplantation.

The typical course of reactivation is shown in Fig. 1, which shows the course of two hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg)-positive patients who received cancer chemotherapy in the early 1980s before the availability of antiviral therapy which might alter the course and outcome. HBV reactivation can be separated into three phases: (1) increase in HBV replication; (2) appearance of hepatic injury; and (3) recovery (Table 1).

![Fig. 1. (A) Reactivation of hepatitis B in an HBsAg carrier with testicular cancer undergoing cyclic chemotherapy. After the second course of chemotherapy, he presented with jaundice and marked elevations in ALT and HBV DNA polymerase activity in serum. Testing of stored serum demonstrated HBsAg without HBeAg or detectable HBV DNA before chemotherapy. The acute hepatitis eventually resolved and he tolerated further courses of chemotherapy without recurrent reactivation. In follow-up 18 months later, he was HBsAg-negative and anti-HBs positive. (B) Reactivation of hepatitis B in an HBsAg carrier with non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma undergoing cyclic chemotherapy. After the third course of chemotherapy, she presented with jaundice and marked elevations in ALT and HBV DNA polymerase activity. Testing of stored serum demonstrated HBsAg without HBeAg and low levels of HBV DNA polymerase in serum before chemotherapy. The acute hepatitis eventually resolved, but she developed HBV reactivation again when chemotherapy was restarted. Prospective monitoring demonstrated the rise in HBV DNA with the first course of treatment, but only mild ALT elevations and no clinical symptoms until chemotherapy was stopped, at which point she suffered a severe bout of icteric hepatitis. Approximately 6 months later, she was found to have cleared HBsAg and tested positive for anti-HBs. Modified with permission from Hoofnagle et al.3](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Diagnostic Markers</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Increase in Viral Replication</td>
<td>HBV DNA, HBeAg</td>
<td>Rise of &gt;1 log10 IU/mL In HBeAg negative Reverse seroconversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Appearance of Disease Activity</td>
<td>ALT Symptoms, Jaundice</td>
<td>Rise of &gt;3 times baseline Indicative of more severe injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>HBV DNA, ALT, HBeAg</td>
<td>Fall to baseline values May be cleared late</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1. Three Phases of HBV Reactivation](table)
The Frequency of HBV Reactivation

The frequency of reactivation is not well defined. In a landmark study from the 1980s, investigators from Hong Kong carefully followed 100 patients with lymphoma while undergoing cancer chemotherapy for virological, serological, and biochemical evidence of reactivation. Almost half of the 27 HBsAg-positive patients (48%) developed reactivation during or shortly after chemotherapy, compared to 0 of 22 patients with no serological markers for ongoing or previous hepatitis B. Importantly, two of 51 patients (4%) with serological evidence of resolved hepatitis B (without HBsAg, but with antibody to hepatitis B core antigen [anti-HBc] in serum) developed reactivation with reappearance of HBsAg in serum. This latter pattern is commonly referred to as “reverse seroconversion” and represents an extreme form of HBV reactivation. In this initial prospective study, half of patients who developed reactivation became jaundiced, and 20% of patients with jaundice died. While the incidence of reactivation has varied in different case series, the fatality rate of HBV reactivation has been consistently greater than 10%, far higher than the fatality rate of typical acute hepatitis B and similar to fatality rates of hepatocellular drug-induced liver injury.

A recent meta-analysis of the role of prophylaxis with lamivudine in preventing reactivation of hepatitis B has provided support for these early results on the frequency of its occurrence. Among 13 studies enrolling 424 patients who did not receive prophylaxis, the combined rate of HBV reactivation was 50%, ranging in individual studies from 24%-88%. Subsequent studies have assessed risk factors for developing reactivation; the likelihood of HBV reactivation is higher in patients with HBeAg or HBV DNA before chemotherapy and with the use of corticosteroids in the chemotherapy regimen. Actually, the most important factor—the aggressiveness of the cancer chemotherapy or rigor and duration of immune suppression—could not be analyzed in these studies because of the homogenous populations enrolled.

The role of degree of immunosuppression in the frequency and severity of HBV reactivation is highlighted by reports of severe reactivation following more aggressive forms of chemotherapy or immune suppression such as with the use of rituximab or fludarabine in the therapy of lymphoma. Rituximab is a monoclonal antibody against CD20, a major cell surface marker on B cells, which effectively reduces B cell numbers and antibody levels. The rate of HBV reactivation with rituximab therapy has not been defined but appears to be high. Thus, in the 12 individual case reports of HBV reactivation associated with rituximab therapy in the literature, the mortality rate was 83%, and five cases occurred in patients who were HBsAg-negative before therapy. In cases of reverse seroconversion, the reappearance of HBsAg and HBV DNA typically occurs late, after several cycles of chemotherapy with rituximab, and generally at a time when anti-HBs and anti-HBc have fallen to low or undetectable levels.

HBV Reactivation After Immune Suppression for Nonmalignant Disease

Reactivation is not limited to patients with cancer undergoing chemotherapy (Table 2). Simple immune suppression as is given to patients with autoimmune or allergic diseases who have either HBsAg or anti-HBc in serum can also induce reappearance of HBV replication and disease activation, although at a lower rate than occurs with cancer chemotherapy. Thus, reactivation of hepatitis B is uncommon with immune suppression using azathioprine and low doses of corticosteroids, but has been reported (rarely) with long-term use of methotrexate. Although rare reports of reactivation have been described in patients receiving corticosteroids alone, more
striking examples occur after the use of potent immune suppression such as with anti–tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapies (infliximab). Thus, there have been more than a dozen published reports of severe reactivation (three being fatal) after use of infliximab for Crohn’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, or ankylosing spondylitis which has resulted in a “black box” warning. The rates of reactivation have been difficult to ascertain, because only rare patients receiving these therapies have pre-existing HBsAg or anti-HBc, and prophylaxis with nucleoside analog is now common. In a study from Spain, patients who were both HBsAg-positive and who did not receive prophylaxis with lamivudine developed severe reactivation after treatment with infliximab, whereas no patient given lamivudine prophylaxis during infliximab therapy developed reactivation.

**Organ Transplantation and HBV Reactivation**

Solid organ transplantation usually requires long-term moderate-to-severe immune suppression to prevent rejection and, consequently, is a setting for occurrence of HBV reactivation in susceptible patients. Before the introduction of antiviral prophylaxis, the rates of HBV reactivation after renal transplantation ranged from 50%-94%. Reactivation was frequently subclinical and resulted in chronic hepatitis rather than acute reactivation episodes. For this reason, the frequency and consequences of HBV reactivation were often overlooked. A similarly high rate of reactivation occurs after heart transplantation. Rates of reverse seroconversion after kidney and heart transplantation have not been well defined, but may be rising in recent years with the use of more potent antirejection regimens. Currently, patients evaluated for heart, lung, and kidney transplantation are routinely tested for HBsAg and anti-HBc and, if positive, considered for antiviral prophylaxis and long-term antiviral treatment. At issue is the long-term benefit of this approach and whether antiviral therapy must be continued indefinitely.

Liver transplantation offers a special and somewhat confusing example of reactivation. Because the infected liver is removed at transplantation, the reappearance of HBsAg and HBV DNA afterwards in HBV-infected transplant recipients is considered reinfection rather than reactivation. Reinfection is almost universal after liver transplantation in patients who are HBsAg-positive, but can be reliably prevented by appropriate use of hepatitis B immune globulin and antiviral therapy. Reinfection after liver transplantation for patients with anti-HBc without HBsAg appears to be uncommon, and such patients are usually not given immunoprophylaxis or long-term therapy.

Reactivation in the setting of liver transplantation occurs when the organ donor rather than recipient is positive for HBsAg or, more frequently, for anti-HBc. Indeed, the most dramatic examples of reverse seroconversion occur with the transplantation of a liver from a donor with anti-HBc without HBsAg into a recipient without HBV infection. Retrospective analyses indicate that approximately 70% of such transplants result in HBV infection in the recipient and almost always results in chronic infection which can be progressive and severe.
The reappearance of hepatitis B in the recipient of a liver donor with serological evidence of recovery from hepatitis B (anti-HBc with or without anti-HBs in the absence of HBsAg) indicates that HBV can become latent and that virus with replicative capabilities remains in the liver in patients who have recovered from hepatitis B. Indeed, blood from such donors can be infectious for hepatitis B, and persons who have recovered from acute or chronic hepatitis B have been shown to harbor HBV DNA in liver despite absence of active liver disease or presence of HBsAg or HBV DNA in serum.

For these reasons, donors with anti-HBc (even without HBsAg) are not used in liver transplantation, unless they are given to patients undergoing transplantation for hepatitis B (and thus who will receive antiviral prophylaxis) or are given with informed consent to a patient who receives long-term prophylaxis with an antiviral agent. Reactivation can be prevented by prophylactic antiviral therapy in this situation, but the long-term efficacy and safety of this latter approach have yet to be fully documented.

**Bone Marrow Transplantation and HBV Reactivation**

Perhaps the most dramatic examples of HBV reactivation have been described in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. In typical allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, the recipient bone marrow is ablated using high doses of chemotherapy and then replaced by the infusion of donor marrow from someone who may or may not have immunity to hepatitis B. Thus, bone marrow transplantation represents the most extreme form of immune suppression/ablation. Reactivation of hepatitis B is almost universal among patients with HBsAg undergoing bone marrow transplantation. In addition, reverse seroconversion is common, although it is often not detected or is misdiagnosed. In retrospective analyses using sensitive serological and virological markers, a high proportion of persons with anti-HBc without HBsAg in serum redeveloped HBV DNA and HBsAg after bone marrow transplantation, occurring in three of six patients (50%) in a study from Germany and in seven of 14 patients (50%) in a study from Japan. Serial testing demonstrated that the bone marrow recipients gradually lost anti-HBs reactivity, with levels of antibody falling to undetectable between 1 and 3 years after transplantation. With loss of anti-HBs (and anti-HBc), HBV DNA appeared and levels increased; once HBV DNA levels rose above 1000 copies/mL (~200 IU/mL), HBsAg typically appeared in the serum (Fig. 3). In the case series, most patients did not develop clinically apparent hepatitis, but among those with clinically apparent disease, fatalities are not infrequent. Importantly, reactivation and particularly reverse seroconversion usually occurred late, between 1 and 3 years after the bone marrow transplantation, and further follow-up may show that a higher proportion of patients would eventually become infected. Because of multiple publications of fatal instances of reverse seroconversion after bone marrow transplantation, current recommendations are for all potential marrow recipients to be tested for HBsAg, anti-HBs, and anti-HBc and patients with HBV markers should receive antiviral prophylaxis. Although this approach appears to be effective, the late development of reactivation after bone marrow transplantation suggests that long-term, if not lifelong, antiviral prophylaxis may be necessary.

**Spontaneous Reactivation**

Chronic hepatitis B is a dynamic condition, and patients with inactive infection (the inactive HBsAg carrier state) can revert spontaneously to the immune-active
phase with reappearance of high levels of HBV DNA and disease activity.67-69 Indeed, a not uncommon pattern of disease in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B is a relapsing course with periods of normal alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels and no or low levels of HBV DNA followed by acute episodes of marked ALT elevations and HBV DNA detectability.70 This pattern represents recurrent HBV reactivation and can present in a fashion resembling acute viral hepatitis71,72 and appears to have a high likelihood of resulting in cirrhosis.69,70 Spontaneous reactivation of chronic hepatitis B is often misdiagnosed,73 yet this pattern of disease activity has been found to be quite responsive to antiviral therapy with nucleoside analogs which block the episodic flares of disease.70

Reactivation of Hepatitis B in HIV-Infected Patients

The progressive immunodeficiency that accompanies chronic infection with HIV can lead to reactivation in patients with chronic HBV infection and reverse seroconversion in patients with anti-HBc without HBsAg in serum. Testing of stored serum specimens from patients with HIV infection followed in clinical research cohorts has identified several instances in which anti-HBs reactivity is gradually lost and HBsAg with HBV DNA and ALT elevations appears.74-76 Many of the antiretroviral agents used to treat HIV infection also have activity against HBV, and in several instances, patients have had sudden exacerbation of chronic hepatitis B when HIV medications are adjusted and drugs with activity against HBV (lamivudine, tenofovir, emtricitabine) are discontinued.77 A similar severe flare in hepatitis that is potentially fatal can occur in HIV-uninfected individuals who abruptly stop lamivudine therapy.78 For these reasons, patients with HIV infection should be tested for HBV markers and patients with HBsAg and/or anti-HBc should not be switched away from agents with anti-HBV activity.

Prevention of Reactivation

Controlled clinical trials79,80 and several subsequent meta-analyses15,81,82 have shown that prophylaxis with nucleoside analogs (most commonly lamivudine) decreases the incidence of HBV reactivation and the frequency of clinical hepatitis and death from HBV-associated liver injury in patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy. Initiating therapy once reactivation has occurred is typically done for control subjects in these trials and appears to be ineffective.

There have been two prospective, randomized controlled trials of lamivudine prophylaxis against HBV reactivation in patients with HBsAg who were undergoing chemotherapy for malignant lymphoma. Both studies were conducted in Asia, one from Hong Kong79 and one from Taiwan.80 Both studies enrolled HBsAg-positive patients only (not those with anti-HBc without HBsAg) who were scheduled to undergo chemotherapy for previously untreated lymphoma. In the study from Hong Kong,72 30 patients were enrolled and randomized to receive prophylactic lamivudine (100 mg daily starting 1 week before chemotherapy and stopping 6 weeks after completion of the last cycle of chemotherapy) or lamivudine treatment only if reactivation were documented to occur. Reactivation was defined by a 10-fold rise of serum HBV DNA levels and “hepatitis” was defined by a threefold increase in ALT levels in patients with HBV DNA detectability. (Fig. 4A). Reactivation occurred in eight of 15 control subjects (53%) but 0 of 15 patients given lamivudine prophylactically (P = 0.002) (Fig. 4A). Seven of the eight instances of HBV reactivation were accompanied by hepatitis (88%), two were icteric (25%), and one was fatal (12%).
A second study was recently published from Taiwan which employed a similar design, and, indeed, was discontinued early because of the results of the study from Hong Kong. In this multicenter trial, 52 HBsAg-positive patients with newly diagnosed non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma were randomized to receive either prophylactic or therapeutic lamivudine. The prophylactic group received 100 mg daily starting 1 week before chemotherapy and continuing for 2 months after completion of chemotherapy. The therapeutic group received lamivudine if serum ALT levels rose during therapy. Definitions of HBV reactivation (1 log₁₀ rise in HBV DNA levels) and hepatitis (three-fold rise in ALT levels) were similar in the two studies. Among 26 patients receiving lamivudine prophylactically, only three (12%) developed HBV reactivation while on therapy compared to 14 of the 25 control patients (56%) (P = 0.002). Most control patients with HBV reactivation also fulfilled criteria for hepatitis (82%), and five patients developed jaundice. In contrast, the cases of reactivation in the prophylactic group were mild and were not accompanied by jaundice. Two of the patients who developed reactivation despite lamivudine therapy were found to harbor lamivudine-resistant HBV which had not been detected before therapy. Most importantly, HBV reactivation and hepatitis were also common after therapy was stopped, occurring in similar proportions of the prophylactic (19%) and the therapeutic (14%) groups (Fig. 4B). In addition, cases of reactivation occurring after prophylactic therapy tended to be clinically apparent: three patients developed jaundice and two died of liver failure.

Thus, both studies clearly demonstrated that prophylactic lamivudine decreased the rate of HBV reactivation and hepatitis; however, the larger trial from Taiwan, which had a more rigorous design and follow-up, demonstrated that HBV reactivation is not completely eliminated by prophylactic lamivudine treatment, perhaps because of development of lamivudine resistance, and that continuation of therapy for 2 months after stopping chemotherapy was not adequate to prevent delayed reactivation.

Prospective trials of antiviral prophylaxis have not been performed in other situations with high risk for HBV reactivation (bone marrow transplantation, solid organ transplantation, HIV infection, immune modulation for autoimmune conditions), but small case series with historical controls indicate that reactivation appears to be decreased, if not eliminated, if prophylaxis is provided. Given the safety and tolerability of current nucleoside analogs for hepatitis B and given that prophylaxis against reactivation of hepatitis B appears to be effective, it would seem appropriate to recommend its application widely.

Indeed, clinical guidelines from expert groups in Asia, Australia, Europe, Canada, and the United States all recommend prophylaxis against reactivation of hepatitis B in high-risk situations.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Reactivation of HBV is a common occurrence after immune suppression and can be clinically severe and result in death from acute liver failure or progressive liver disease and cirrhosis. HBV reactivation can be prevented in some instances by prophylactic use of antiviral agents. Nevertheless, it is difficult to make rigorous recommendations regarding the prevention and control of HBV reactivation. Issues include: which patients should be screened for evidence of hepatitis B before starting immune suppression or chemotherapy? Should screening tests include both HBsAg and anti-HBc? Which patients should be offered prophylaxis against reactivation? Which antiviral agent should be used? And for how long? Using what tests to monitor therapy for both efficacy and safety?

Recommendations regarding reactivation have been published by several academic societies and by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, but the recommendations differ and are frequently complex and require special expertise or knowledge about hepatitis B and its risk factors and serology. Based on the current literature about reactivation as well as the realization that chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressive regimens continue to evolve and have become more rigorous and aggressive with newer immunosuppressive agents and regimens, simple recommendations can be made, although not all are convincingly supported by medical evidence.

All patients who are to undergo cancer chemotherapy, marked immunosuppressive treatments or solid organ or bone marrow transplantation should be screened for evidence of ongoing or previous hepatitis B (for HBsAg and anti-HBc).

Persons found to be HBsAg-positive should be evaluated for indications for therapy of hepatitis B and, if found to warrant treatment, started on appropriate therapy before starting cancer chemotherapy or immune suppression. Such therapy should continue for the duration of chemotherapy and for as long as dictated by the chronic hepatitis B.

Persons found to have the inactive HBsAg carrier state or immune-tolerant chronic hepatitis B should receive antiviral prophylaxis before starting chemotherapy or immune suppression.

Persons found to have anti-HBc without HBsAg in serum should be considered for antiviral prophylaxis if they are scheduled for organ or bone marrow transplan-
tation or if aggressive or prolonged chemotherapy or immune suppression is planned.

Prophylaxis against HBV reactivation should continue for at least 6 months after stopping chemotherapy. In situations in which immune suppression is continued for the long term, long-term prophylaxis should be considered.

Although lamivudine or adefovir may be adequate for short-term prophylaxis, antiviral nucleoside analog with a higher barrier to resistance should be considered for patients in whom long-term prophylaxis is likely, particularly if high levels of HBV DNA are present before immune suppressive therapy.

### Needs for Future Research

The complexity of reactivation of hepatitis B and the many issues surrounding its management call for prospective studies of its incidence, pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention. At present, recommendations have to be based on our understanding of reactivation, uncontrolled observations, and limited studies of its prevention. Because the oral nucleoside analogs active against hepatitis B are relatively potent and are well tolerated, prevention is easy to recommend. More difficult is to decide when to stop therapy and how to monitor patients before or during prophylaxis. Although future controlled studies of prophylaxis versus no prophylaxis are not warranted, controlled trials of different approaches to prophylaxis are reasonable and would provide valuable information. Thus, prospective clinical trials might compare the efficacy of lamivudine versus entecavir or tenofovir, or evaluate discontinuation of prophylaxis at 2 versus 12 months after stopping chemotherapy. Studies of nonliver organs from donors with anti-HBc without HBsAg might be developed that compared limited, short-term prophylaxis to continued antiviral therapy. These studies should include careful virological analyses and ancillary studies directed at elucidating the nature of HBV latency, factors that lead to an increase in HBV replication and liver cell injury, and features of the innate and adaptive immune system that lead to immune clearance of HBV after acute reactivation.
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